MMP Learning Seminar Week 40

Applications of the existence of minimal models for vaneties of general type.
$\rightarrow$ Flops connect minimal models.
$\rightarrow$ Fans varieties are Mori dream spaces.
$\rightarrow$ Canonical models of Mg in
$\rightarrow$ Applications to singularity theory.

Theorem: $(X, \Delta)$ projective kIt. If, $\Delta$ is big, then there exists a minimal model program with scaling of an ample divisor which terminates.

Corollary: $(X, \Delta)$ projective $k l t, \Delta$ big \& $K x+\Delta$ is pseudo-effective. Then $(X, \Delta)$ admits a good minimal model

Theorem: $(X, \Delta)$ projective kill. Then
$\bigoplus_{m \geqslant 0} H^{0}\left(X, \theta_{x}(m(k x+\Delta))\right)$
is finitely generated over $\mathbb{K}$.
$(X, \Delta)$ kit, $\Delta$ big.
MMP with scaling
$K x+\Delta$ prof $(x, \Delta) \cdots\left(X^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)$ kIt,$\Delta^{\prime}$ big.
$K_{x^{\prime}}+\Delta^{\prime}$ is semiample.
$K x+\Delta$ not pref $(X, \Delta) \ldots X^{\prime}$
$\downarrow$ Mon fiber spice
MMP with scaling.

Flops connect minimal models:
$\pi: X \longrightarrow U$ prog morphium between gp normal $\left\{\begin{array}{l}X, Y_{1}, Y_{2} \\ \text { assumed } \\ \text { to be } \\ Q-j_{2} \text { cool. }\end{array}\right.$
$(X, \Delta)$ kIt, $\Delta$ big over $U$.
$\phi_{i}: X \cdots Y_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ bo two minimal
models for $(X, \Delta)$. over $U$. Let $\Gamma_{i}=\phi_{i *} \Delta$
Then $Y_{1} \longrightarrow Y_{2}$ is a composition of $\left(K_{r_{1}}+I_{1}\right)$-flops over $U$. Proof:

$K_{r_{1}}+\Gamma_{1}$ is net over $U$.
$\Gamma_{1}$ is big, $\left(Y_{1} \Gamma_{1}\right)$ all

$$
K_{r_{1}}+\Gamma_{1} \equiv z 0 . \quad \& \quad K_{r_{2}}+\Gamma_{2} \equiv 0
$$

We may replace $U$ with $Z$ and assume
$H_{2}$ ample on $Y_{2} \& H_{1}$ its strict transform on $Y_{1}$. $\left(Y_{1}, \Gamma_{1}+H_{1}\right)$ is kIt. assume $K_{r_{1}}+\Gamma_{1}+H_{1}$ is not ned.

We perform a $\left(K_{r_{1}}+I_{1}+H_{1}\right)$-flip over $U$ which is $2\left(K_{e_{1}}+I_{1}\right)$-flop.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{r_{1}}+\Gamma_{1} \equiv K_{r_{2}}+\Gamma_{2} \equiv v 0 . \\
& K_{r_{1}^{\prime}}+\Gamma_{1}^{\prime}+H_{1}^{\prime} \text { is nef? yes } \longrightarrow \text { no } \longrightarrow \text { new flop. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$K_{r^{\prime}}+\Gamma_{r^{\prime}}+H_{Y^{\prime}}$ is semiample
$K_{r_{2}}+I_{2}+H_{2}$ is ample $\longleftrightarrow$ is the ample model.

Proof by Kawamat2:

$L^{\prime}$ ample on $X^{\prime} . \quad(X, B+2 L)$ кlt.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{n}, B_{n}+2 L_{n}\right) \text { klt \& } \\
& k_{x_{n}}+B_{n}+2 L_{n} \text { nef. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$k(K x+B)$ is Carbier, $\quad e=\frac{1}{2 k d_{m} x+1}$.
$K x+B+e 2 L$ is not nef
$(K x+B+e 2 L)$ - neg extremal ray whichis also a
$(k x+B+2 L)$-negative.

$$
0>((K x+B+2 L) \cdot C) \geqslant-2 \operatorname{dim} x
$$

$C l_{\text {aim: }} \quad\left(k_{x}+B\right) \cdot C=0$.
Proof: Assume otherwise that $\left(K_{x}+B\right) \cdot C>0$. Then $(K x+B) \geqslant \frac{1}{K}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
(k x+B+e 2 L) \cdot C=\geqslant_{-2 \operatorname{dim} x}^{2 k \operatorname{dim} x+1}((k x+B+2 L) \cdot C)+\frac{2 k \operatorname{tin} x}{2 k \operatorname{din} x+1}((k x+B) \cdot C) \\
\geqslant \frac{1}{2 k \operatorname{dim} x+1}(-2 \operatorname{dim} x+2 \operatorname{dim} x)=0 \\
k x+B
\end{gathered}
$$

Remarks: The sequence of flops ${ }^{V}$ that Kawamila constructs are obtaned by a MMP with scaling of


Fans vanities are Mori dream space:
Corollary: $\quad \pi: X \longrightarrow U$ pros morphine.
$A \geq 0$ ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor over $U$. $\quad \Delta_{i}=A+B_{i}$
where $B_{i} \geqslant 0$-divisors. Assume $\left(X, \Delta_{1}\right)$ are dill.
$k_{x}+\Delta_{i}=D_{i}$. Then the ring

$$
R\left(\pi, D^{\bullet}\right)=\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}^{k}} \pi_{*} O_{*}\left(L \sum_{m ; 1,}^{1} \mid\right)
$$

is a finitely generated $\theta_{U}$-module
Proof: $f_{i} Y \longrightarrow X$ log resolution of all the $\left(X, \Delta_{i}\right)$

$$
K_{\tau}+\underbrace{\Gamma_{i}}_{\substack{\Gamma_{i} \\ v_{1}}}=\pi^{*}\left(K_{x}+\Delta\right)+\underbrace{E_{i}}_{\substack{v_{1} \\ 0}}
$$

Assume A ample on $X$. $F$ exceptional sit $f^{*} A-F$ ample on $Y$.
$\log _{\text {snath }}$ and $\left(Y, \Gamma_{i}+F\right)$ is kIt. $A^{\prime} \sim 0 f^{*} A-F$ genera ample

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{i}=K_{+}+I_{i}+F-f^{*} A+A^{\prime} \sim 0, v K_{-}+I_{i} . \\
& R\left(R, D^{0}\right) f g \Longleftrightarrow R\left(R \circ f, G^{\bullet}\right) f g .
\end{aligned}
$$

These rings hive 1 rom pronation

Replace $X$ and $\Delta$ is with $Y$ and Ii's
Di's Gi's.
$m \Delta i$ Weir divisors. $\left.\quad E=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \theta_{x} C_{m} \Delta_{i}\right)$

$$
Y=\mathbb{P}_{X}(E), \quad f: Y \longrightarrow X
$$

$\bar{\sigma}_{i} \in \theta_{x}\left(m \Delta_{i}\right)$, with zero lows $m \Delta_{1}, \sigma=\left(\sigma_{1} \ldots, \sigma_{k}\right) \in H^{\prime}(x, E)$ $S$ the divisor of $\sigma$ in $Y$. $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{K}$ sections of $E$.

$$
T=T_{a}+\ldots+T_{k}, \quad I=T+S / m
$$

$\theta_{r}\left(m\left(k_{r}+I\right)\right)$ is the tautological line bundle associated to $E\left(m k_{x}\right)$.

Thus, $R\left(R, D^{\cdot}\right) \simeq R\left(R_{0}, m\left(K_{r}+\Sigma\right)\right)$.
$\longrightarrow$ reduce to the case $k=1$.
$C_{\text {aim：}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { We need to check } \quad \Gamma=\text { ample＋eft } \\ \&(Y, I) \text { is dIt：}\end{array}\right.$
$(Y, \Gamma)$ is $\log$ smooth outside $\operatorname{supp} \Gamma$ ．
Adjuction＋induction proves that $(Y, \Gamma)$ is dit around $\Gamma$ ．
$f^{*} A \leq s / m \leq \Gamma$ ．$\quad T$ ample over $X$ ．
Hence，$f^{*} A+\varepsilon T$ is ample on $T$（over $U$ ）．

$$
A^{\prime} \sim 0,0 \quad f^{\prime} A+\varepsilon T \quad \text { general ample }
$$

Then，we write：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{x}+I_{11}^{\prime}=k_{x}+\Gamma-\varepsilon T_{1}-ナ ゚ A+A^{\prime} \sim \theta_{0, v} \quad k_{r+\Gamma} \\
& \text { ample +eff } \\
& \left(Y, I^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{k} / t \text {. } \\
& R\left(\text { rom, } m\left(K_{T}+\perp\right)\right) \simeq R\left(\text { ref }, m\left(K_{T}+I^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary: $\pi: X \rightarrow U$ projective, $U$ affine.
$X \mathbb{Q}$-factonal, $(X, \Delta)$ dIt, $-\left(K_{x}+\Delta\right)$ ample over $U$.
Then $X$ is a MDS.
Proof: $h^{\prime}\left(\theta_{x}\right)=0$ (from $K V$ ).
$D_{1}, \ldots, D_{k}$ dNisol) generity $N^{2}(x)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I \in\left|-m\left(K_{x}+\Delta\right)\right| \text { genera! } \\
& (X, \Delta+I / m) \text { kIt } K_{x}+\Delta+\Gamma / m \sim 0,00 \\
& \left(X, \Delta+I / m+\frac{1}{n} D_{i}\right) \text { kill. } \\
& n\left(\left\lvert\, k x+\Delta+I / m+\frac{1}{n} D_{i}\right.\right) \sim a D_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moduli spaces of cures:
Corollary (1.2.1): Let $X=\overline{M_{\text {gin }}}$.
$\Delta$ i with $1 \leq i \leqslant k$ denote the bound in devon.

$$
\Delta=\Sigma_{i}, a_{i} \Delta_{i}, \quad 0 \leqslant \alpha_{i} \leqslant 1 \text {. Then }(x, \Delta) \text { is log }
$$

canomas . It $k_{x+} \Delta$ is big, then it hes an ample model. If $a_{i} \geqslant \delta$, for some fixed $\delta$, then the ample models obtained are only finitely many.

Lemma: $\quad X=\overline{M_{g}}, \quad X$ is $Q$-factorial and kill.
$D=$ reduced boundary. $(X, D) \log$ canonical and $K_{x}+D$ is ample.

Lemma: $X=\overline{M g}_{g i n}, X$ is Q-factorial and $k$ lt. $D=\operatorname{reduced}$ boundary. ( $X, D$ ) $\log$ canonical and $K_{x}+D$ is ample.

Proof. ( $X, D$ ) is locally the quotient of a normal crossing pair
If $n=0$, then $K_{x}+D$ is ample (Mumford, 1977)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\overline{M g g}, n+1^{(Y, G)} \longrightarrow \bar{M}_{g, n} . \\
&(X, D)
\end{aligned}
$$


definition of stable pairs, we get $K_{\bar{\mu}_{g, n+1}}+\Gamma$.
has positive degree on the fibers of $\psi$.
Hence $K_{x}+G$ is $\pi$-ample.

We can write.
Towirds ample cone of $\overline{M_{g}}$ Gluey, Koel Monition
$K_{Y}+G=R^{*}\left(K_{x}+D\right)+\psi$ where $\psi$ is net
$K x+D$ is ample by induction on $n$.
$\varepsilon \geq 0$ small enough.

$$
\varepsilon(K Y+G)+(1-\varepsilon) \pi^{*}\left(K_{x}+D\right) \text { ample }
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{r}+G & =\varepsilon\left(k_{r}+G\right)+(1-\varepsilon)\left(k_{r}+G\right) \\
& =\underbrace{\varepsilon\left(k_{r}+G\right)+(1-\varepsilon) r^{*}\left(k_{x}+D\right)+\underbrace{(1-\varepsilon) \psi}_{\text {net }}}_{\text {ample }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of (1.2.1): $k_{x}+D$ is ample \& log canonical. Hence, $k_{x}+\Delta$ is kit provided $a_{i}<1$.

$$
\text { Pick } \underset{0}{\underline{t}} A \sim_{a} \delta\left(K_{x}+D\right) \quad a_{i} \geqslant \delta
$$

general ample. Note that.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1+\delta)\left(k_{x}+\Delta\right)=k_{x}+\underbrace{\delta\left(k_{x}+\Delta\right.})+\underbrace{(1+\delta) \Delta-\delta D} \\
& \sim_{a} K_{x}+A^{\prime}+\underset{v_{1}}{B_{v}}
\end{aligned}
$$

kit with boundary of the form $A+B_{20}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leqslant(\Delta-\delta D)+\delta \Delta=B=\Delta+\delta(\Delta-D) \leqslant D . \\
& B \leqslant D
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we can apply finiteness of ample models.

Singularity Theory:
$\left(X_{i} x\right)$ an algebraic sing.
$\varphi: Y \longrightarrow X$ projective birational
$\begin{array}{ll}U \prime \\ E & \varphi \text { is an isomorphism between } Y \backslash E \simeq X \backslash|x|\end{array}$
Tackle question on $(X ; x)$ by studying the projective variety $E$. This is called a global-to-local principle

Corollary 1.4.3: Let $(X, \Delta)$ be a kit pair.
Ce be a finite set of divisorial valuations over $X$ with log disucpancies in the interval $(0,1)$.
Them we may find a projective birational morphism
$r: Y \longrightarrow X$, set $Y$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and the exceptional divisors of $\pi$ correspond to elements of $C$

Proof: $W \xrightarrow{f}$ log resolution
extracting all the divisors correspontry to elements of le

$$
\begin{array}{r}
k_{w}+\Psi=f^{0}\left(k_{x}+\Delta\right)+E^{i} \\
f_{\times} \psi=\Delta . \quad \Psi \wedge E=0 .
\end{array}
$$

$F=$ sum of all prime divisors, exceptionals over $X$, neither on $E$ nor le.
$\Phi=\Psi+\varepsilon F$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough

$$
\left|k_{w}+\Phi\right|=k_{w} \cdot \Psi+\varepsilon F .
$$

$\rightarrow h_{25} 2$ good minima model over $X$.
kIt. $\Phi$ big over $X$.

$$
W \xrightarrow{g} \quad g * \Phi=I, \quad g_{1}(E+\varepsilon F)=\stackrel{E}{\prime}_{\prime \prime}^{\prime \prime}
$$



The only divisors that we extant on $Y$ are those in $C$.

